



# AGENDA

**Ordinary meeting of the**

**Planning and Regulatory Committee**

**Thursday 2 June 2016**

**Commencing at the conclusion of the Council meeting**

**Council Chamber**

**Civic House**

**110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson**

Membership: Councillor Brian McGurk (Chairperson), Her Worship the Mayor Rachel Reese, Councillors Ian Barker, Ruth Copeland, Eric Davy, Kate Fulton (Deputy Chairperson), Matt Lawrey and Mike Ward, and Ms Glenice Paine

Guidelines for councillors attending the meeting, who are not members of the Committee, as set out in Standing Orders:

- All councillors, whether or not they are members of the Committee, may attend Committee meetings (SO 2.12.2)
- At the discretion of the Chair, councillors who are not Committee members may speak, or ask questions about a matter.
- Only Committee members may vote on any matter before the Committee (SO 3.14.1)

It is good practice for both Committee members and non-Committee members to declare any interests in items on the agenda. They should withdraw from the room for discussion and voting on any of these items.



**1. Apologies**

Nil

**2. Confirmation of Order of Business**

**3. Interests**

3.1 Updates to the Interests Register

3.2 Identify any conflicts of interest in the agenda

**4. Public Forum**

**5. Confirmation of Minutes**

**5 - 6**

19 May 2016 – Hearing of Submissions

Document number M1892

Recommendation

***THAT the minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Regulatory Committee, held on 19 May 2016, be confirmed as a true and correct record.***

**REGULATORY**

**6. Deliberations on Fees and Charges for Resource Consent, Food Act and Fencing of Swimming Pools Act activities commencing 1 July 2016**

**7 - 20**

Document number R5876

Recommendation

***THAT the report Deliberations on Fees and Charges for Resource Consent, Food Act and Fencing of Swimming Pools Act activities commencing 1 July 2016 (R5876) and its attachments (A1546954, A1546317 and A1547270) be received.***

Recommendation to Council

**THAT the table in Section 5 of this report (R5876) be used as the basis of providing responses to submitters on the matters raised in submissions;**

**AND THAT the draft Fees and Charges Resource Consents and Resource Management Act Planning Documents as detailed in Attachment 1 (A1546954) be adopted;**

**AND THAT the draft Food Act 2014 Fees and Charges as detailed in Attachment 2 (A1546317) be adopted;**

**AND THAT the draft Building Unit Fees and Charges Swimming Pools monitoring fee as detailed in Attachment 3 (A1547270) be adopted.**

---

**Minutes of a meeting of the Planning and Regulatory Committee to hear submissions to the Draft Fees and Charges Resource Consent Activity and Fencing of Swimming Pools fees and Charges**

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson**

**On Thursday 19 May 2016, commencing at 9.01am**

---

Present: Councillor B McGurk (Chairperson), Her Worship the Mayor R Reese, Councillors I Barker, R Copeland, E Davy, K Fulton (Deputy Chairperson), M Lawrey, M Ward and Ms G Paine

In Attendance: Councillor P Matheson, Chief Executive (C Hadley), Group Manager Strategy and Environment (C Barton), Manager Communications and Acting Manager Libraries and Heritage Facilities (P Shattock), and Administration Adviser (J McDougall)

Apology: Councillor K Fulton

**1. Apologies**

Resolved PR/2016/025

***THAT an apology be received and accepted from Councillor Fulton.***

McGurk/Davy

Carried

**2. Confirmation of Order of Business**

There was no change to the order of business.

**3. Interests**

There were no updates to the Interests Register, and no interests with items on the agenda were declared.

#### 4. **Hearing of Submissions to the Draft Fees and Charges Resource Consent Activity and Fencing of Swimming Pools Fees and Charges**

Document number R5900, agenda pages 4 - 13 refer.

##### 4.1 Brad Cadwallader – Cadwallader Tree Consultancy – Draft Fees and Charges Resource Consent Activity

Mr Cadwallader spoke to his submission, and suggested that “qualified arborist” be replaced by the words “suitably qualified and experienced arborist”.

In response to a question, Mr Cadwallader said that a Level 5 arborist qualification would be appropriate for assessing heritage trees, and he thought there were probably four to five people in the Nelson area with this qualification.

He suggested adding a clause that, where a tree was causing serious structural damage to a dwelling and it was proven that there was no practical remedy available, there should be no consent fee for the removal of the tree.

He suggested further that the consent fee for the removal of heritage trees confirmed in writing by a qualified arborist as diseased or a threat to public safety should be \$500 rather than \$1300.

##### 4.2 David Marsh – Fencing of Swimming Pools Fees and Charges

Mr Marsh spoke to his submission. He suggested that no inspection should be required where swimming pool fences or gates were permanent fixtures, and where property owners confirmed in writing to Council every three years that no changes had been made, and the fences and/or gates were functioning correctly.

There being no further business the meeting ended at 9.16am.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings:

\_\_\_\_\_ Chairperson \_\_\_\_\_ Date



**REPORT R5876**

---

**Deliberations on Fees and Charges for Resource Consent, Food Act and Fencing of Swimming Pools Act activities commencing 1 July 2016**

---

**1. Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 To provide information and recommendations on matters raised in submissions on the consultation documents for the draft fees and charges for Resource Consent, Food Act and Fencing of Swimming Pool Act activities. The information and recommendations will assist the decision making process.

**2. Delegations**

- 2.1 The Planning and Regulatory Committee has the area of responsibility for resource management, food licensing and fencing of swimming pools regulatory activities.
- 2.2 There is no delegation from the Council to set fees and charges and so the matter must be considered by Council.

**3. Recommendation**

***THAT the report Deliberations on Fees and Charges for Resource Consent, Food Act and Fencing of Swimming Pools Act activities commencing 1 July 2016 (R5876) and its attachments (A1546954, A1546317 and A1547270) be received.***

**Recommendation to Council**

***THAT the table in Section 5 of this report (R5876) be used as the basis of providing responses to submitters on the matters raised in submissions;***

***AND THAT the draft Fees and Charges Resource Consents and Resource Management Act Planning Documents as detailed in Attachment 1 (A1546954) be adopted;***

**AND THAT the draft Food Act 2014 Fees and Charges as detailed in Attachment 2 (A1546317) be adopted;**

**AND THAT the draft Building Unit Fees and Charges Swimming Pools monitoring fee as detailed in Attachment 3 (A1547270) be adopted.**

#### **4. Background**

- 4.1 The Resource Management Act 1991, the Food Act 2014 and the Fencing of Swimming Pools Act 1987 require public consultation to set or alter fees and charges. Public consultation for these activities was open for the period 29 March to 29 April 2016.
- 4.2 Five submissions were received: three submissions for the proposed Fencing of Swimming Pools Act fees and charges and two submissions from the same person for proposed resource consent fees and charges. Two submitters spoke at a hearing on 19 May.

#### **5. Discussion**

##### **Resource Consent activity submissions**

- 5.1 The submitter (B Cadwallader) proposes changes to the resource consent fees associated with protected trees. No changes to the existing charges were proposed for this activity in the consultation documents. Currently there is no charge for resource consent for the removal of a protected tree if a qualified arborist confirms the tree is diseased or a threat to public safety. The removal of other protected trees requires a \$500 deposit with the lodgement of a resource consent application.
- 5.2 The submitter (B Cadwallader) proposes to amend the wording to include a qualification of a minimum of level 5 New Zealand Qualifications Authority (NZQA), or equivalent for the arborist to ensure they have the required level of expertise to confirm the tree should be removed. The submitter also would like a free consent for removing protected trees that are causing serious structural damage to a dwelling where there is no practical alternative to removal of the tree.
- 5.3 Council's Horticulture Supervisor agrees that qualification level 5 NZQA (or equivalent) is the right level of formal qualification. Free applications for gradual structural damage caused by the heritage tree would not support owners taking early action to protect both the structure and the tree where possible. These types of issues are rare and Council officers have some delegated authority to remit fees and charges should circumstances warrant this (delegation O16).

##### **Food Act – no submissions received**



## Fencing of Swimming Pools submissions

- 5.4 The submitter (D E Marsh) proposed that once the fencing has met with the compliance requirements of the Building Act 2004 Council should not need to visit every three years to check compliance. There is no similar regime for decks or other balustrades etc. Instead could the Council send a simple form, which the householder fills in, to certify no changes or amendments to the pool area, or fencing, has been undertaken since it was signed off as compliant?
- 5.5 The submitter (ME & RJ McQuade) confirmed support for the proposed standard charge of \$100.00. Noting they are compliant as at 2015 they raised concern if a new officer visited within three years how does Council ensure consistency on the prior decision made. Additionally they have enquired whether Council could consider if the service for the inspection could be included in rates on properties with pools.
- 5.6 The submitter (M Guerin) confirmed they support the \$100.00 charge as being reasonable if the monitoring review was undertaken every five years.
- 5.7 The legislation is presently under review. This may result in the current legislation being repealed. Changes may come into force in the next 12 months which will guide Council on the how the monitoring of pool fencing will be undertaken in future. The proposed legislation, Building (pools) Amendment Bill, indicates it will clarify councils should inspect every five years. So this informs a response to submitters M Guerin and D Marsh.
- 5.8 In response to submitter M & R McQuade, to have the fee as a targeted rate to pool owners would require a special consultative process for all pool owners. Noting it is too late to implement a targeted rate for the year 2016/17 so would not be possible until 2017/18. Additionally it means there will inadvertently be cross subsidising, so compliant pool owners will subsidise others with non-complying pools. There are concerns around the monitoring of the pools if the situation changes, if say a pool is removed the rates will still be in place. The proposed fee model means compliant pool owners have an incentive to keep their pools compliant. Additionally it is dealt with in real time, so as the pool is inspected, so has no risk of 'over rating' an owner.
- 5.9 Summary of submissions and recommended responses

Submitter	Matter	Recommended Response
B Cadwallader	Include minimum qualification for arborist	Thank you for this suggestion. Council agree and will amend the fees and charges schedule to define a qualified arborist as having attained level 5 NZQA or equivalent.
B Cadwallader	Extend the no cost consent for removal of a protected tree	As these situations are rare, Council prefers this situation be excluded from the free fee to encourage

Submitter	Matter	Recommended Response
	where the tree causes serious damage to a dwelling and there is no practical alternative to removal	property owners to take steps early on to prevent gradual damage to a dwelling by protecting both the tree and the structure where possible. There are existing provisions to review the charges should circumstances warrant this.
D E Marsh	Consider a 'simple form' for pool owners to 'certify' no changes have been made to previously determined compliant pool fencing and swimming pool areas.	<p>Thank you for your suggestion we note that every Territorial Authority shall, under Section 10 of the Fencing of Swimming Pool Act 1987, take all reasonable steps to ensure the Act is complied with in its district.</p> <p>With the first round of assessment completed, general levels of compliance have increased through this process.</p> <p>Council could consider if a 'form' being provided to owners and returned, confirming continued compliance is adequate.</p> <p>While a form (filled out by the pool owner) could reduce the fee, it is unlikely this would constitute a Territorial Authority taking all reasonable steps to ensure the Act is complied with.</p>
ME & RJ McQuade	<p>Supports the proposed \$100.00 fee.</p> <p>Would like reassurance of consistency for future reviews.</p> <p>Would prefer this cost to be placed on property rates specific to pool owners.</p>	<p>The initial inspection process has produced decisions around compliance. These have been recorded and will be the basis for any future review. This will mitigate the likelihood of a different decision in the next round.</p> <p>The application of a targeted rates cost for pool owners was explored at the commencement of this work in 2012.</p> <p>At that time it was decided to be implemented as a fee, as opposed to a targeted rates cost.</p> <p>It is still recommended this fee is not treated as a targeted rates cost.</p>
M Guerin	Accepts the \$100.00 charge would be more	The five yearly cycles of inspections will only come in if the proposed Building (Pools) Amendment Bill is

Submitter	Matter	Recommended Response
	reasonable if the inspection was every five years, not three years.	enacted. If this is not the case the current regime of three yearly (as recommended in the NZS 8500:2006) will likely continue.

## **6. Options**

- 6.1 Council can choose to adopt the fees and charges as they were consulted on or adopt the fees and charges with amendments.

## **7. Alignment with relevant Council policy**

- 7.1 The recommended fees and charges are consistent with the required statutes and assist with achieving the stated funding policy in the Long Term Plan.

## **8. Assessment of Significance against the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy**

- 8.1 This is not a significant decision in terms of the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.

## **9. Consultation**

- 9.1 Public consultation on the fees and charges requiring a Special Consultative Procedure was open from 29 March to 29 April. Two submitters were heard on 19 May. Other submitters did not want to be heard.

## **10. Inclusion of Māori in the decision making process**

- 10.1 There has been no consultation with Māori regarding this recommendation.

Mandy Bishop

**Manager Consents and Compliance**

### **Attachments**

- Attachment 1: A1546954 Draft Fees and Charges Resource Consents and Resource Management Act Planning Documents
- Attachment 2: A1546317 Draft Food Act 2014 Fees and Charges
- Attachment 3: A1547270 Draft Building Unit Fees and Charges Swimming Pools monitoring fee

ATTACHMENT 2



# **Draft Fees and Charges**

**Commencing 1 July 2016**

## **Resource Consent Fees**

### **Resource Management Act Planning Documents Fees**

**under the Resource Management Act 1991**

**and**

**Housing Accord and Special Housing Areas Act 2013**

Resource Consent Processing and Monitoring, Designations, Plan Changes, all other activity under the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Housing Accord and Special Housing Areas Act 2013 will attract an initial charge (deposit) payable at the time of lodging an application as per Section 1 below.

Where the cost of processing the consent is not fully covered by the initial charge, additional charges will be applied (under Section 36 of the RMA).

Section 2 below lists the various costs that may be charged to a consent.

*All charges listed in this Schedule are GST inclusive*

	<b>Activity</b>	<b>Initial Charge</b>
1.1	All activities (other than listed below)	\$1,300
1.2	Subdivision 1-3 lots	\$1,300
	Subdivision 4 plus lots	\$2,000
1.3	Bore permits; Certificate of Compliance; Change of consent conditions or consent notice; Culverts, weirs and other minor structures on the bed of watercourses; Existing Use Certificate; Extension of lapsing period; Fences; Flats Plan update and check; Gravel extraction; Outline Plan approvals; Relocate building; Removal of trees listed in the Nelson Resource Management Plan; Replacement Permits; Right of Way approval; Signs; Simple consent process; Swing moorings; Transfer/part transfer of Permits	\$500
1.4	NOTIFIED APPLICATIONS: Additional charges for applications requiring notification/ limited notification. (This charge must be paid prior to notifying the application and is in addition to the initial charge paid when the application is lodged).	\$7,000
1.5	Removal of trees listed in the Nelson Resource Management Plan that are confirmed in writing by a qualified arborist (level 5 NZQA or equivalent), as diseased or a threat to public safety.	No charge
1.6	Heritage Buildings: Non-notified application to conserve and restore heritage building, place or object listed in the Nelson Resource Management Plan.	No Charge
1.7	Private Plan changes (Note: Council's policy is to recover 95% of the costs involved for the whole process from the applicant).	\$10,000
1.8	Heritage Orders	\$3,500

- 1.9 Where an application involves multiple consents the initial charge is payable at the higher rate plus \$250.00 for each accompanying application.
- 1.10 Where all or part of any initial charge (deposit) is not paid at application time, the Council reserves the right to not process that application.

## 2. Costs Charged to a Consent

	Details	Charge
2.1	Council Staff – all staff time inclusive of overhead component associated with processing and assessing applications.	\$148 per hour
2.2	Hearings Panel Charges:	
	- per Councillor as Commissioner (rate set by Remuneration Authority)	\$80 per hour
	- Councillor as Chairperson (rate set by Remuneration Authority)	\$100 per hour
	- Independent Commissioner (requested by applicant)	Cost
	- Independent Commissioner (requested by submitter)	Cost less Councillor rate (applicant pays the Councillor rate)
	- Independent Commissioner(s) required for expertise or due to conflict of interest issues	Cost
2.3	Legal advisors and consultants engaged by Council, or reports commissioned, after discussion with the applicant, to provide expertise not available in-house under s.92(2) RMA.	Cost plus administration charges
2.4	Experts and consultants engaged by Council to undertake assessment of an application where the complexity of the application necessitates external expertise, or where resource consent processing is required to be outsourced due to conflict of interest issues (this is not a s92(2) RMA commissioning).	Cost plus administration charges
2.5	All disbursements, such as telephone calls, courier delivery services, all public notification costs, postage for notified applications and document copying charges.	Cost plus administration charges
2.6	Consultants engaged by the Council where skills are normally able to be provided by in-house staff or when Council staff workloads are unusually high.	\$148 per hour
2.7	Urban Design Panel reviews a proposal before a resource consent application is lodged	No charge
2.8	The applicant agrees (as per 2.3 above) to the Urban Design Panel reviewing the proposal after a resource consent application is lodged	Cost plus administration charges

## 2.9 Photocopying Charges

A4	\$0.20 per page;
A3	\$0.50 per page;
A2	\$2.00 per page
A1	\$3.00 per page

## 2.10 Monitoring Charges

- 2.10.1 If monitoring is required, a one-off charge of \$100.00 will be invoiced as part of the consent cost. Any extra work that is required to monitor compliance with the consent conditions will be charged at the appropriate hourly rate for Council staff and separately invoiced.
- 2.10.2 Monitoring charges associated with review of information required to be provided by a condition of resource consent will be charged for at the appropriate hourly rate for Council staff or actual cost for specialist consultant.
- 2.10.3 Where the applicant is required or authorised to monitor the activity, the Council's costs in receiving and assessing the monitoring information will be charged directly to the consent holder at the appropriate hourly rate for Council staff or actual cost of the specialist involved.

## 2.11 Administration Charges

	Item/Details	Charge
2.11.1	Insurance levy – for each resource consent.	\$30
2.11.2	Street naming and numbering (costs of reporting to Hearings Panel and advising all statutory agencies).	Council staff hourly rate in 2.1 above
2.11.3	Street numbering – application for alteration.	\$125
2.11.4	Documents for execution – removal of building line restrictions; easement documents, caveats, covenants and other documents to be registered with LINZ presented after subdivision processed or where not associated with a subdivision application.	\$175 for each document
2.11.5	Certificate under Overseas Investment Act.	\$385
2.11.6	Confirmation of compliance with the Nelson Resource Management Plan for NZ Qualifications Authority.	\$385
2.11.7	Confirmation of compliance with the Nelson Resource Management Plan for liquor licence applications.	\$70
2.11.8	Section 357 Administration charge.	\$255
2.11.9	Private right-of-way – review against existing names and advising all statutory agencies where	\$225

	Item/Details	Charge
	appropriate.	
2.11.10	Authentication report for small-scale solid-fuel burning appliance or open fire.	\$70
2.11.11	Removal of designation.	\$305
2.11.12	Swing Mooring annual charge (monitoring costs are additional, refer 2.10.3 above).	\$75
2.11.13	Transfer of Consents to new owner (S.135(1)(a), S.136(1), S.136(2)(a), or S.137(2)(a) Resource Management Act)	\$150
2.11.14	Claiming a swing mooring the Council removed from the Coastal Marine Area that did not have a coastal permit	\$300
2.11.15	Claiming a vessel that was towed and hauled out of the Coastal Marine Area as it was tied to a non consented mooring that was uplifted	Cost for tow and haul out

## 2.12 Discount for Late Consents

- 2.12.1 Where statutory processing timeframes have not been met and this is the fault of the Council, a discount of 1% of the total processing costs per each day the consent is late, up to a maximum of 50%, will be credited.

## 3. Invoicing

- 3.1 Where processing costs exceed the level of the initial charge (deposit), monthly invoices for any additional charges may be sent to the applicant.
- 3.2 Annual swing mooring charges shall be due on 1 December. The initial payment is due within 30 days of the mooring being installed. Moorings installed 1 December to 1 June will incur the full annual charge. Moorings installed from 1 June to 30 November will be charged half of the annual charge. The Council reserves the right to agree to other arrangements in writing.
- 3.3 The Council has no obligation to perform any action on any application until the charges for the action have been paid in full; such payment will be required by the 20th of the month following invoice.
- 3.4 Where any interim invoice is disputed, work on processing the application will be stopped until the matter is resolved at the discretion of the Manager Consents and Compliance.
- 3.5 The option of monthly invoices only, in lieu of initial charges, may be available on strict credit conditions as follows:
- The consent process, or Council involvement in the project, is likely to extend over a period in excess of 6 months; and
  - The total amount for invoices is likely to exceed \$5,000; and



- c) The applicant is in good financial standing with a satisfactory credit record and agrees to abide by the Council's usual credit terms or
- d) The applicant is a regular customer of the Council's Resource Consents Business Unit, is in good financial standing with no record of unpaid invoices, who agrees to pay each and every invoiced charge by the 20th of the month following the date of issue of the invoice.

Any disputes relating to an invoiced charge must be resolved after the invoice has been paid. Failure to meet these criteria will result in the option of monthly invoices, in lieu of initial charges plus monthly invoices being withdrawn.

The decision on whether to waive the required charge and institute a system of monthly invoicing shall be made by the Manager Consents and Compliance or Group Manager Strategy and Environment, having regard to the above criteria.

#### 4. Pre-Application Charges

Detail	Charge
Pre-application discussion with staff on feasibility of a proposal that may not proceed to resource consent.	First half hour – no charge. Additional time charged on an hourly basis at the Council staff charge out rate as per 2.1.

#### 5. Resource Management Planning Documents

Copies of Plans	Cost
Nelson Resource Management Plan - Text (hard copy)	\$150
Nelson Resource Management Plan - Maps (hard copy)	\$150
CD ROM – combined Nelson Resource Management Plan and Nelson Air Quality Plan – updated annually in Spring	\$15 annually
Nelson Resource Management Plan - hard copy updates issued as required	\$25 annually for text \$25 annually for maps
Nelson Air Quality Plan	\$50
Land Development Manual	\$100

APPENDIX THREE



## **Draft Fees and Charges**

**under the Food Act 2014**

**commencing 1 July 2016**

<b>Food premises under the Food Act 2014</b>	<b>Charge (includes GST)</b>
<b>New Registration -</b> Food control plan (based on a template issued by MPI)	<b>\$222</b> initial fee Plus <b>\$148</b> per hour spent on processing application after the first 1½ hours
<b>New Registration -</b> National programme	<b>\$148</b> initial fee Plus <b>\$148</b> per hour spent on processing application after the first hour
<b>Renewal of Registration -</b> Food control plan or national programme	<b>\$74</b> initial fee Plus <b>\$148</b> per hour spent on processing application after the first ½ hour
<b>Amendment to Registration -</b> Food control plan or national programme	<b>\$74</b> initial fee Plus <b>\$148</b> per hour spent on processing application after the first ½ hour
<b>Suspension -</b> Voluntary suspension of food control plan or national programme	<b>\$74</b> initial fee Plus <b>\$148</b> per hour spent on processing the application after the first ½ hour
<b>Verification -</b> Includes site visits, corrective action follow up, correspondence and documentation for food control plans.	<b>\$148</b> per hour
<b>Compliance -</b> Investigation of complaint resulting in the issue of an improvement notice by food safety officer or Application for review of improvement notice.	<b>\$148</b> per hour
<b>Monitoring -</b> Monitoring for food safety and suitability.	<b>No charge</b>

Note – Time charged per hour will be measured to the nearest 15 minute interval.

**BUILDING UNIT FEES AND CHARGES  
01 JULY 2016 ONWARDS**

**5.0 Certificates of Acceptance and Unauthorised Building Works**

<b>Certificate of Acceptance</b>	<b>Fixed Fee (inc GST)</b>
Certificate of Acceptance (COA) Section 96 Building Act 2004	\$750 lodgement fee
	\$200 Certificate issue
Building Officer time at hourly rate. The following will be charged as applicable: Insurance, DBH, BRANZ & QA levies Nelson City Council Development or Financial Contributions Compliance Schedule fee	
Un-authorised building works report	\$100 lodgement fee

**6.0 Registration of Documents with Land Information New Zealand**

<b>LINZ</b>	<b>Fixed Fee (inc GST)</b>
Section 73 Building Act 2004 (was \$200)	\$250
Section 75 Building Act 2004 (was \$200)	\$250
Removal of either Section 73 or 75 BA2004 (or equivalent under the BA1991) (was \$200)	\$250

**7.0 Other services provided by the Building Unit**

<b>Other services</b>	<b>Fee (inc GST)</b>
Section 37 Certificate (fixed fee)	\$75
Section 37 Administration	\$95/hr
Project Information Memorandum (PIM) Review of information and Certificate administration (fixed fee) was part fixed fee (\$75) and part hour charge (\$95/hr) but was not working so have simplified.	\$285
Compliance Schedule <b>plus</b> any additional staff time at hourly rate.	\$150 each
Compliance Schedule amendment <b>plus</b> any additional staff time at hourly rate.	\$50 per specified system
Building Warrant Of Fitness renewal <b>plus</b> any additional time to review 12A forms at hourly rate.	\$150 each renewal
Application for addition to register of: Independent Qualified Persons (IQP's)	\$150 each
Determinations, Lapsed consents, Section 93 and old Code Compliance Certificate (CCC) at hourly rate.	\$125/hr
Certificate for Public Use fee (public buildings) <b>plus</b> staff time at hourly rate.	\$200
Swimming Pool and Fence Monitoring under the Fencing of Swimming Pools Act 1987 (FOSPA) (every 3 years). <b>plus</b> any additional staff time where non compliance noted at hourly rate. (was \$210)	\$100